# New Peroxy Triterpenes from the Aerial Roots of Ficus microcarpa

Yi-Ming Chiang and Yueh-Hsiung Kuo\*

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China

Received October 13, 2000

Six new triterpenes,  $3\beta$ -acetoxy- $12\beta$ ,  $13\beta$ -epoxy- $11\alpha$ -hydroperoxyursane (**1**),  $3\beta$ -acetoxy- $11\alpha$ -hydroperoxy- $13\alpha$ H-ursan-12-one (**2**),  $3\beta$ -acetoxy- $1\beta$ ,  $11\alpha$ -epidioxy-12-ursene (**3**), (20.5)- $3\beta$ -acetoxylupan-29-oic acid (**4**), (20.5)- $3\beta$ -acetoxy-20-hydroperoxy-30-norlupane (**5**), and  $3\beta$ -acetoxy- $18\alpha$ -hydroperoxy-12-oleanen-11-one (**6**), together with  $3\beta$ -acetoxy-12-oleanen-11-one (**7**), were isolated from the aerial roots of *Ficus microcarpa*. Compounds **1**–**3**, **5**, and **6** were characterized as new peroxytriterpenes. The structures of **3** and **6** were confirmed by X-ray crystallography, and their structures were elucidated by spectroscopic and chemical methods.

Ficus microcarpa L. f. (Moraceae) is a popular ornamental plant in Taiwan. Phytochemical studies of this species have led to the identification of six triterpenoids from the leaves.1 Two isoflavones,2 28 known components,3 and six new compounds (one monoterpenoid, two phenols, one apocarotenoid, and two  $\gamma$ -lactone derivatives) were previously isolated from its bark and heartwood.<sup>4,5</sup> Recently, new taraxastane-type triterpenes were isolated and elucidated from its aerial roots.<sup>6,7</sup> Reinvestigation of the aerial root extract has led to the isolation of six new ursene and oleanene derivatives including the cytotoxic constituent  $3\beta$ acetoxy-11a-hydroperoxy-12-ursene.<sup>8</sup> In the present study, we have isolated six new triterpenes (1-6) together with the known  $3\beta$ -acetoxy-12-oleanen-11-one (7).<sup>9</sup> Compounds 1–3, 5, and 6 are unusual peroxytriterpenes. This paper deals with the isolation and structural determination of these metabolites.



## **Results and Discussion**

Triterpene 1 was isolated as a colorless solid. The molecular formula  $C_{32}H_{52}O_5$  was established by its  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ 

NMR and MS data, representing seven indices of hydrogen deficiency (IHD). Compound 1 contained hydroxyl (or hydroperoxyl) and acetoxyl groups attributable to the IR absorption bands at 3395, 1733, and 1249 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1 exhibited signals for a high-field methine proton [ $\delta$  0.57 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, H-18)], six singlet methyl groups (δ 0.83, 0.84, 1.00, 1.04, 1.11, 1.19), two doublet methyl groups [ $\delta$  0.90 (d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.05 (d, J =6.0 Hz)], an acetoxyl group [ $\delta$  2.02 (3H, s)], a methine proton attached with an acetoxyl group [ $\delta$  4.45 (dd, J =10.8, 5.2 Hz)], and a low-field exchangeable hydroperoxy proton ( $\delta$  7.83)<sup>8,10</sup> connected to C-11 [ $\delta$  4.36 (d, J = 9.2 Hz) and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  79.8]. Comparison of these data with 3 $\beta$ -acetoxy- $11\alpha$ -hydroperoxy-12-ursene<sup>8</sup> suggested that compound **1** is an ursane triterpene with an acetoxyl group at C-3 and a hydroperoxyl group at C-11. No olefinic signals between  $\delta_{\rm C}$  110 and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  160 were observed. Two remaining oxygenated carbons present at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  64.7 and 57.1 [corresponding to the <sup>1</sup>H signal at  $\delta$  3.35 (s)] were consistent with the presence of an epoxide functionality. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of **1** with those of  $3\beta$ -acetoxy-11 $\alpha$ hydroperoxy-12-ursene<sup>8</sup> indicated that an epoxide functionality was located at C-12 and C-13. The signal at  $\delta$  4.36 was assigned as H-11 due to the HMBC correlations with C-9 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  50.6), C-12 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  57.1), and C-13 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  64.7) and a NOESY correlation with H-12. This proton was assigned with a  $\beta$ -axial orientation because of the presence of a large coupling constant (J = 9.2 Hz) with H-9 ( $\delta$  1.35) and a NOESY correlation with H<sub>3</sub>-25. The epoxide was also assigned with a  $\beta$ -orientation based on the fact that H-12 showed NOESY correlations with H-18 and H<sub>3</sub>-29. Additionally, H-18 was present at an unusually high field ( $\delta$ 0.57, d, J = 10.8 Hz) possibly due to a shielding effect from the same face of the epoxide ring system (see Figure 1). A chemical correlation between **1** and  $3\beta$ -acetoxy-11 $\alpha$ -hydroperoxy-12-ursene<sup>8</sup> was carried out by the oxidation of the latter compound with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (*m*-CPBA) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  for 2 days, which yielded **1** (15%) and a trace of keto compound **2**. The slow reaction rate was attributed to the steric effects from CH<sub>3</sub>-27, CH<sub>3</sub>-28, and CH<sub>3</sub>-29 and an inductive effect from the hydroperoxide group. The allylic hydroperoxide was in an equatorial orientation which could not direct the orientation of oxidation. The CH<sub>3</sub>-29 group was positioned at the  $\alpha$ -face since the double bond caused a strong steric effect from the  $\alpha$ -face, and therefore the oxygen atom of the peracid attacked the less hindered  $\beta$ -face.

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: 886-2-23638146. Fax: 886-2-23636359. E-mail: yhkuo@ccms.ntu.edu.tw.



Figure 1. Key NOESY correlations for 1.

**Table 1.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR Data ( $\delta$ ) for **1**–**7** (100 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	38.2	39.4	90.4	38.4	38.3	38.7	38.7
2	23.6	23.5	27.0	23.6	23.6	23.5	23.5
3	80.8	80.3	78.5	81.0	81.0	80.6	80.6
4	38.0 <sup>a</sup>	38.0 <sup>a</sup>	37.8	37.8	37.7	38.0	38.0
5	55.2	55.4	50.5	55.3	55.3	54.9	55.0
6	17.6	17.9	17.4	18.2	18.1	17.4	17.3
7	34.0	34.8	33.0	34.2	34.2	32.5	32.6
8	40.8	44.3	39.1	40.8	40.9	45.4	45.4
9	50.6	51.6	51.0	49.9	49.9	61.3	61.6
10	37.9 <sup>a</sup>	37.9 <sup>a</sup>	36.5	37.0	37.0	36.8	36.9
11	79.8	87.1	77.7	20.8	20.8	200.4	200.1
12	57.1	212.7	120.3	26.3	27.1	128.5	128.0
13	64.7	51.1	147.5	37.7	37.3	160.6	170.6
14	40.6	41.4	43.2	43.0	43.0	43.9	43.3
15	25.4	26.5	26.7	27.2	27.1	26.0	6.3 <sup>a</sup>
16	28.2	28.9	27.9	35.4	40.4	30.3	6.4 <sup>a</sup>
17	35.0	32.1	34.0	43.0	42.8	37.5	32.3
18	57.7	42.8	59.6	47.2	48.5	86.0	47.6
19	$39.3^{b}$	41.2	39.5 <sup>a</sup>	40.1	40.6	36.0	45.1
20	$39.5^{b}$	39.1	39.6 <sup>a</sup>	40.9	83.4	30.8	31.0
21	31.4	30.1	31.1	23.7	21.8	33.4	34.4
22	41.6	41.5	41.2	40.3	35.2	31.2	36.5
23	28.0	28.2	27.8	27.9	27.9	28.0	28.0
24	16.4	16.9	17.1	16.5	16.5	16.7	16.6
25	17.2	17.5	14.3	16.1	16.0	16.4	16.4
26	22.2	20.2	17.1	15.9	15.9	18.5	18.7
27	19.2	27.8	23.5	14.3	14.2	21.8	23.5
28	28.5	29.4	28.8	17.9	17.9	19.8	28.7
29	17.8	18.7	17.4	181.8	12.4	28.1	33.0
30	20.8	21.3	21.1	9.6		34.8	23.3
$CH_3CO$	171.2	170.9	170.4	171.1	171.2	171.0	170.9
CH <sub>3</sub> CO	21.3	21.3	21.2	21.3	21.3	21.3	21.3

<sup>*a,b*</sup> Values bearing the same superscript may be interchanged.

Compound 2 was obtained as a colorless solid. The molecular formula of **2** was found to be  $C_{32}H_{52}O_{5}$ , similar to compound 1, by HRFABMS. The IR absorption bands at 3339, 1731, 1717, and 1249  $cm^{-1}$  indicated the presence of hydroxyl (or hydroperoxyl), acetoxyl, and carbonyl groups. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals at  $\delta$  0.84, 0.86, 0.87, 0.96, 1.13, 1.26, 2.03 (each 3 H, s), 0.82 (3H, d, J = 6.0 Hz), and 0.90 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz) were attributed to nine methyl groups. The pattern of the proton signals was similar to those of compound 1, including a methine proton associated with a hydroperoxyl group [ $\delta$  4.22 (d, J = 8.8 Hz)], a methine proton associated with an acetoxyl group [ $\delta$  4.51 (dd, J = 10.0, 6.4 Hz)], and an exchangeable hydroperoxyl proton at  $\delta$  8.45.<sup>8</sup> However, a high-field proton signal at  $\delta$ 0.57 was not observed as in the case of 1, but a methine proton [ $\delta$  2.82 (s. H-13)] was present for **2**. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of 2 were similar to those of compound 1 except for the presence of a carbonyl carbon ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  212.7) and a tertiary carbon ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  51.1) instead of two epoxide carbons in **1** (Table 1). Thus, the structure of **2** was assigned as  $3\beta$ -acetoxy-11α-hydroperoxy-13αH-ursan-12-one. This was also confirmed by HMQC, HMBC, and COSY NMR experiments, with the  $\alpha$ -orientation of H-13 elucidated by an NOE



Figure 2. Key NOESY correlations for 2.

experiment. Thus, H-13 exhibited NOE correlations with H-18, H-19, H<sub>3</sub>-27, and H<sub>3</sub>-29, so it was concluded that H-13 should be on the same face as H<sub>3</sub>-27 (Figure 2). The oxidation of 3β-acetoxy-11α-hydroperoxy-12-ursene<sup>8</sup> with *m*-CPBA, as mentioned above, yielded two products, **1** and **2**. Compound **1** also gave **2**, after being dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub> for one week. By considering the reaction mechanism, H-13 was assigned in an α-orientation.

Compound 3, a colorless solid, had a molecular formula of  $C_{32}H_{50}O_4$  on the basis of its HREIMS, <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Table 1), and DEPT data. Like 1 and 2, it also contained an acetoxyl group due to the IR absorption bands at 1741 and 1245 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of compound **3** showed six singlet methyl groups (δ 0.77, 0.86, 0.86, 1.04, 1.17, 1.19), two doublet methyl groups [ $\delta$  0.76 (d, J = 6.4 Hz), 0.88 (d, J = 5.2 Hz)], four methine protons [ $\delta$  1.85 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-9), 4.03 (dd, J = 12.4, 3.6 Hz, H-1), 4.63 (dd, J = 11.2, 4.4 Hz, H-3), and 5.00 (H-11, overlapped with H-12)], and an olefinic proton [ $\delta$  5.02 (overlapped with H-11)]. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR and DEPT data showed an ester carbonyl ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  170.4), a trisubstituted double bond ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  147.5, 120.3), and three oxygenated carbons ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  90.4, 78.5, 77.7). Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of **3** and **1** indicated that **3** is a  $3\beta$ acetoxy-12-ursene derivative. On account of the molecular formula  $C_{32}H_{50}O_4$ , the IHD of **3** was eight, including one carbonyl and one olefinic functionality. Thus, the number of rings in **3** should be six. The absence of a hydroxyl absorption as well as the presence of three oxygenated carbons in 3 indicated that the remaining two oxygen atoms should be in an endoperoxide moiety. The evidence from  $J_{9,11} = 8.8$  Hz permitted the conclusion that H-9 (d 1.85) and H-11 are both axial. The other end of the endoperoxide unit was connected to C-1 in a  $\beta$ -orientation because H-1 exhibited a large coupling constant (J = 12.4, 3.6 Hz) to H-2. The signal at  $\delta$  4.03 (H-1) showed HMBC correlations with C-2 and C-25, and COSY correlations between H-1/H-2 and H-2/H-3 were observed. On the basis of the above evidence, the structure of **3** was assigned as  $3\beta$ -acetoxy- $1\beta$ ,  $11\alpha$ -epidioxy-12-ursene. This new structure was also confirmed by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study (Figure 3).

Compound **4** was assigned the molecular formula  $C_{32}H_{52}O_4$  (HRFABMS). Its IR and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra indicated the presence of a methine proton attached to an acetoxyl group, a carboxylic acid, six singlet methyl groups, a doublet methyl group [ $\delta$  1.03 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, H<sub>3</sub>-30)], one methine proton [ $\delta$  2.29 (m, H-19)], and a methine proton [ $\delta$  2.77 (m, H-20)]. The latter methine proton had COSY correlations with H<sub>3</sub>-30 and H-19. On the basis of the above evidence and DEPT spectra, compound **4** was considered to be a lupane-type triterpene with C-29 and C-3 bearing a carboxylic acid and an acetoxyl group, respectively. The absolute configuration at C-20 was defined following the method of Corbett and his collaborators.<sup>11</sup> Thus, compound



Figure 3. ORTEP drawing of 3.

**4** was deduced as (20S)- $3\beta$ -acetoxylupan-29-oic acid by comparison of spectral data with those in the literature.<sup>11</sup>

Compound 5, a colorless solid, showed an ion peak at m/z 470 (M<sup>+</sup> – H<sub>2</sub>O). Analysis of its IR spectrum suggested it contained a hydroxyl (or a hydroperoxyl group) (3400  $cm^{-1}$ ) and an acetoxyl group (1735, 1248  $cm^{-1}$ ). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 5 was similar to those of (20S)-3 $\beta$ acetoxylupan-29-oic acid (4).<sup>11</sup> The major difference between 4 and 5 was a hydroperoxyl group in 5 instead of a carboxylic acid in 4. The lower field exchangeable hydroperoxyl proton present at  $\delta$  8.27 proved the existence of this functionality. A lower field  $^{13}$ C NMR signal at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  83.4 (resonating at  $\delta$  4.34) suggested a connection with a hydroperoxyl group. This signal ( $\delta$  4.34) was assigned as H-20 due to the COSY correlations with H<sub>3</sub>-29 ( $\delta$  1.07, d) and H-19 ( $\delta$  2.27, m). Therefore, according to the molecular formula ( $C_{31}H_{52}O_4$ ), the structure of **5** was elucidated as  $3\beta$ -acetoxy-20-hydroperoxy-30-norlupane. The absolute configuration of C-20 was determined as having the Sconfiguration on the basis of the following observations. Corbett et al.<sup>11</sup> have synthesized two epimers of  $3\beta$ ,20dihydroxy-30-norlupane and defined the C-29 <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals of 20*S* and 20*R* at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  17.2 and 23.0, respectively. Reduction of **5** with lithium aluminum hydride gave  $3\beta$ . 20-dihydroxy-30-norlupane with C-29 at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  17.2. Therefore, the structure of **5** was elucidated as (20S)-3 $\beta$ -acetoxy-20hydroperoxy-30-norlupane.

 $3\beta$ -Acetoxy-18 $\alpha$ -hydroperoxy-12-oleanen-11-one (6) was isolated as a colorless solid. The molecular formula of C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was established from HREIMS and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Table 1). Compound 6 showed absorption bands at 1734, 1249 cm<sup>-1</sup> (acetoxyl group) and 1669 cm<sup>-1</sup> (conjugated carbonyl) in its IR spectrum. Eight singlet methyl groups and the splitting patterns in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum between  $\delta$  6.00 and 2.00 of 6 [ $\delta$  5.74 (1H, s, H-12), 4.49 (1H, dd, J = 11.2, 5.2 Hz, H-3;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  80.6 for C-3), 2.71 (1H, dt, J = 13.6, 3.2 Hz, H-1 $\beta$ ), 2.43 (1H, s, H-9), and 2.03 (3H, s,  $CH_3CO$ )] were similar to those of compound 7,<sup>9</sup> which was also isolated from the same source. When the molecular formulas of 6 and 7 were compared, two additional oxygen atoms were found to occur in compound 6. An exchangeable signal at  $\delta$  6.80 (s) and a <sup>13</sup>C signal at  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 86.0 (s) revealed that two oxygen atoms were attributable to a hydroperoxyl moiety. The carbon ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  86.0) connected to the hydroperoxyl group had HMBC correlations with H-12, H-19, H-22, and H<sub>3</sub>-28. Thus, the location of the hydroperoxide should be at C-18. The H<sub>3</sub>-27 ( $\delta$  1.53) and H<sub>3</sub>-29 ( $\delta$  1.13) signals were at a lower field than the corresponding protons in 7 (see Experimental Section) and were obviously deshielded by the hydroperoxide group. A NOESY correlation between -OOH and H<sub>3</sub>-29 together with the above evidence confirmed the orientation of the hydroperoxyl group in the  $\alpha$ -axial orientation, unambiguously. This structure was proved by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study (Figure 4).



Figure 4. ORTEP drawing of 6.

### **Experimental Section**

**General Experimental Procedures.** Melting points were determined with a Yanagimoto micromelting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO DIP-1000 digital polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 983G spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were run on a Varian Unity Plus 400 spectrometer. EIMS were obtained on a JEOL JMS-HX 300 mass spectrometer. The X-ray crystallographic data were collected on a Siemens Smart CCD diffractometer using graphic-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation. Extracts were chromatographed over Si gel (Merck 70–230 mesh, 230–400 mesh, ASTM).

**Plant Material.** The aerial roots of *Ficus microcarpa* L. f. were collected on the campus of National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, in Auguest 1996. The plant was identified by Mr. Muh-Tsuen Gun, formerly of the Department of Botany, National Taiwan University. A voucher specimen (No. 038671) has been deposited at the Herbarium of the Department of Botany, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan.

Extraction and Isolation. The dried aerial roots of F. microcarpa were crushed to give 18 kg of raw material, which was extracted with MeOH (150 L) at room temperature (7 days  $\times$  2). The extract was evaporated in vacuo to yield a residue, which was suspended in  $H_2O$  (1 L), and this was then partitioned with ethyl acetate (1 L  $\times$  3). The combined ethyl acetate layer afforded a black syrup (250 g), which was subsequently chromatographed over Si gel with a hexane/ EtOAc gradient solvent system. The crude compounds 7, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, and 4 were eluted in turn with 20% EtOAc in hexane. Further purification by HPLC [Merck LichroCART 250-10 Cat. 1.50179 Lichrosorb Si 60 (7  $\mu$ m)] gave 7 (46 mg), 1 (8 mg), 2 (10 mg), 3 (13 mg), 5 (45 mg), 6 (9 mg), and 4 (8 mg) using 10% EtOAc/hexane, 20% EtOAc/hexane, 20% EtOAc/hexane, 20% EtOAc/hexane, 20% EtOAc/hexane, 20% EtOAc/hexane, and 30% EtOAc/hexane, respectively.

**3**β-Acetoxy-12β,13β-epoxy-11α-hydroperoxyursane (1): colorless solid (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); mp 187–193 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ +14.4° (*c* 0.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3395, 1734, 1456, 1381, 1268, 1249, 1031, 986, 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.57 (1H, d, J= 10.8 Hz, H-18), 0.83, 0.84, 1.00, 1.04, 1.11, 1.19, 2.02 (each 3H, s), 0.90 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, H-30), 1.05 (3H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-29), 4.36 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz, H-11), 4.45 (1H, dd, J = 10.8, 5.2 Hz, H-3), 7.83 (1H, s, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OOH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 1; EIMS *m*/*z* 516 [M<sup>+</sup>] (1), 498 (64), 483 (70), 277 (28), 221 (100), 123 (56); HREIMS *m*/*z* 498.3706 [M<sup>+</sup> – H<sub>2</sub>O] (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 498.3711).

**3β**-Acetoxy-11α-hydroperoxyursan-12-one (2): amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  +63.9° (*c* 0.4, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3339, 1731, 1717, 1458, 1387, 1268, 1249, 1031, 975, 739 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 0.84, 0.86, 0.87, 0.96, 1.13, 1.26, 2.03 (each 3H, s), 0.82 (3H, d, J = 6.0 Hz, H-29), 0.90 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, H-30), 1.78 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-9), 1.94 (1H, dt, J = 14.0, 3.2 Hz, H-1 $\beta$ ), 2.82 (1H, s, H-13), 4.22 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-11), 4.51 (1H, dd, J = 10.0, 6.4 Hz, H-3), 8.45 (1H, s, OOH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 1; FABMS *m*/*z* 517 [M<sup>+</sup> + 1] (33), 515 (55), 499 (100), 483 (27), 439 (50), 289 (45), 219 (78); HRFABMS *m*/*z* 517.3878 [M<sup>+</sup> + 1] (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>53</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 517.3895).

3β-Acetoxy-1β,11α-epidioxy-12-ursene (3): colorless solid (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); mp 250-253 °C;  $[\alpha]^{29}_{D}$  +29.4° (c 0.9, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3032, 1741, 1465, 1370, 1245, 1028, 990, 972 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.77, 0.86, 0.86, 1.04, 1.17, 1.19, 2.02 (each 3H, s), 0.76 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, H-29), 0.88 (3H, d, J = 5.2 Hz, H-30), 1.85 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-9), 4.03 (1H, dd, J = 12.4, 3.6 Hz, H-1), 4.63 (1H, dd, J = 11.2, 4.4 Hz, H-3), 5.00 (1H, H-11, overlapped with H-12), 5.02 (1H, H-12, overlapped with H-11); <sup>13</sup>Ĉ NMR data, see Table 1; EIMS m/z498  $[M^{+}]$  (1), 482 (5), 466 (4), 420 (100), 405 (28), 267 (23); HREIMS *m*/*z* 498.3730 [M<sup>+</sup>] (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 498.3711).

(20S)-3β-Acetoxylupan-29-oic acid (4): colorless solid; mp 287–290 °C;  $[\alpha]^{29}_{D}$  +18.9° (*c* 0.7, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) ( $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$  +22.66°; lit.<sup>11</sup>); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3200–2500, 1734, 1712, 1389, 1251, 1030, 983 cm^{-1}; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.75, 0.82, 0.83, 0.84, 0.90, 1.01, 2.02 (each 3H, s), 1.03 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, H-30), 2.29 (1H, m, H-19), 2.77 (1H, m, H-20), 4.45 (1H, dd, J = 10.4, 6.8 Hz, H-3); <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 1; FABMS m/z 501 [M<sup>+</sup> + 1] (3), 441 (5), 307 (25), 289 (15), 154 (100), 136 (64); HRFABMS m/z 501.3930 [M<sup>+</sup> + 1] (calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>53</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 501.3946).

(20S)-3β-Acetoxy-20-hydroperoxy-30-norlupane (5): colorless solid (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); mp 159-162 °C; [α]<sup>29</sup><sub>D</sub> +6.8° (c 3.9, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (KBr) v<sub>max</sub> 3400, 1735, 1458, 1383, 1248, 1030, 981, 738 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.74, 0.80, 0.81, 0.83, 0.86, 1.00, 2.01 (each 3H, s), 1.07 (3H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, H-29), 2.27 (1H, m, H-19), 4.34 (1H, m, H-20), 4.44 (1H, dd, J = 9.6, 6.4 Hz, H-3), 8.27 (1H, s, OOH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 1; EIMS m/z 470 (M<sup>+</sup> – H<sub>2</sub>O, 1), 410 (16), 394 (16), 367 (36), 351 (30), 323 (26), 189 (80), 95 (88), 71 (92), 57 (100), 55 (92); HREIMS m/z 470.3771 [M<sup>+</sup> - H<sub>2</sub>O] (calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 470.3762)

3β-Acetoxy-18α-hydroperoxy-12-oleanen-11-one (6): colorless solid (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); mp 205–207 °C;  $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$  +23.7° (c 0.7, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) (MeOH) 242.0 (3.91) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ 3414, 3062, 1734, 1669, 1466, 1367, 1249, 1032, 987, 738 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.79 (1H, br d, J = 10.0 Hz, H-5), 0.83, 0.86, 0.86, 0.94, 1.10, 1.13, 1.15, 1.53, 2.03 (each 3H, s), 2.43 (1H, s, H-9), 2.71 (1H, dt, J = 13.6, 3.2 Hz, H-1 $\beta$ ), 4.49 (1H, dd, J = 11.2, 5.2 Hz, H-3), 5.74 (1H, s, H-12), 6.80 (1H, s, OOH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 1; EIMS m/z 514 [M<sup>+</sup>] (3), 496 (100), 480 (40), 465 (48), 436 (26), 421 (24), 289 (32), 271 (68), 189 (38), 135 (37); HREIMS m/z 514.3660 [M<sup>+</sup>] (calcd for  $C_{32}H_{50}O_5$ , 514.3660).

3β-Acetoxy-12-oleanen-11-one (7): colorless solid (CH<sub>2</sub>-Cl<sub>2</sub>); mp 283–286 °C; IR (KBr) v<sub>max</sub> 1734, 1663, 1622, 1466, 1637, 1247, 1030, 987, 738 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.76 (1H, dd, J = 9.6, 2.8 Hz, H-5), 0.82, 0.84, 0.84, 0.85, 0.87 (H<sub>3</sub>-29), 1.09, 1.12, 1.32 (H<sub>3</sub>-27), 2.01 (each 3H, s), 2.32 (1H, s, H-9), 2.76 (1H, dt, J = 13.6, 3.2 Hz, H-1 $\beta$ ), 4.48 (1H, dd, J = 11.6, 4.8 Hz, H-3), 5.55 (1H, s, H-12); <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Table 1; EIMS m/z 482 [M<sup>+</sup>] (21), 467 (8), 422 (40), 407 (36), 379 (16), 273 (88), 232 (100), 175 (38), 135 (72).

Oxidation of  $3\beta$ -Acetoxy-11 $\alpha$ -hydroperoxy-12-ursene with *m*-CPBA.  $3\beta$ -Acetoxy-11 $\alpha$ -hydroperoxy-12-ursene (33) mg) and *m*-CPBA (55 mg) were dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h. The mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL), washed with 1 N sodium hydroxide (10 mL) and water (10 mL), and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was removed under reduced pressure to yield 30 mg of crude product, which was separated by HPLC (20% EtOAc/hexane) to yield 7 (5.2 mg,  $t_{\rm R} = 9.7$  min), starting material (15.0 mg,  $t_{\rm R} = 11.0$  min), **1** (4.8 mg,  $t_{\rm R} = 12.4$  min), and **2** (1.6 mg,  $t_{\rm R} = 14.5$  min).

Reduction of 5 with Lithium Aluminum Hydride. To a solution of compound 5 (5 mg) in 1 mL of dry THF was added an excess of LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, and the suspension was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was carefully hydrolyzed by dropwise addition of wet THF (2 mL). After removal of THF, the mixture was extracted with ether (5 mL) and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) to afford (20*S*)- $3\beta$ ,20-dihydroxy-30-norlupane.<sup>11</sup>

X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis of 3. A colorless crystal of **3** with dimensions  $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.02$  mm was selected for X-ray analysis. Structure analysis was performed using the SHELXTL program on a PC.<sup>12</sup> Data were collected over a hemisphere of reciprocal space, by a combination of three sets of exposures. The compound crystallized in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1$ , with a = 8.1726(2) Å, b = 11.1238(3) Å, c =15.5734(4) Å,  $\beta = 98.2750(10)^\circ$ , V = 1401.04(6) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_{calc}$ = 1.182 g/cm<sup>3</sup>,  $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å,  $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 0.076 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 548, and T = 150(2) K. The SMART program was used to make data corrections. A total of 16 217 reflections, collected in the range  $1.32^\circ \le \theta \le 27.50^\circ$ , yielded 6400 unique reflections. The structure was solved using direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on  $F^2$  values for 5804 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ . Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were fixed at calculated positions and refined using a riding mode. The final indices were R = 0.0630,  $R_w = 0.1228$  with goodness-of-fit = 1.001. Scattering factors were taken from the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography.<sup>13</sup>

X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis of 6. A colorless crystal of **6** with dimensions  $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.25$  mm was selected for X-ray analysis. Structure analysis was performed using the SHELXTL program on a PC.<sup>12</sup> Data were collected over a hemisphere of reciprocal space, by a combination of three sets of exposures. The compound crystallized in the monoclinic space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , with a = 25.1203(6) Å, b = 8.0928(2) Å, c = 14.2733(3) Å,  $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ , V = 2901.67(12) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_{calc} = 14.2733(3)$ 1.178 g/cm<sup>3</sup>,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å,  $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 0.077 mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 1128, and T = 295(2) K. The SMART program was used to make data corrections. A total of 28 786 reflections, collected in the range  $1.62^{\circ} \leq \theta \leq 27.50^{\circ}$ , yielded 6674 unique reflections. The structure was solved using direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on  $F^2$  values for 6470 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ . Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were fixed at calculated positions and refined using ariding mode. The final indices were R = 0.0468,  $R_w = 0.1268$  with goodness-of-fit = 1.048. Scattering factors were taken from the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography.<sup>13</sup>

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic data for compounds 3 and 6. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### **References and Notes**

- (1) Higa, M.; Yogi, S.; Hokama, K. Bull. Coll. Sci., Univ. Ryukyus 1987, 13, 75-86.
- (2) Li, Y. C.; Kuo, Y. H. J. Nat. Prod. 1997, 60, 292-293.
- (d) Kuo, Y. H.; Li, Y. C. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 1997, 44, 321–325.
   (d) Li, Y. C.; Kuo, Y. H. Phytochemistry 1998, 49, 2417–2419.

- (4) Li, F. C., Rub, F. H. *Hijtochemistry* **1936**, *49*, *47*, 299–301.
  (5) Kuo, Y. H.; Li, Y. C. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1999**, *47*, 299–301.
  (6) Kuo, Y. H.; Chiang, Y. M. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1999**, *47*, 498–500.
  (7) Chiang, Y. M.; Kuo, Y. H. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2000**, *63*, 898–901.
  (8) Kuo, Y. H.; Chiang, Y. M. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2000**, *48*, 593–596.
  (9) Barnes, R. A.; Pereira, A. L.; Scofield, T. C. V.; Filho, R. B.; Pinto, A. C. C. L. Pharm. Bull. **1001**, *60*, 6074, 6074.
- (9) Barnes, K. A., Ferena, A. L., Sconed, T. C. V., Finlo, K. B., Finlo, A. C. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1984**, *32*, 3674–3677.
  (10) Shen, J. H.; Huang, S. Y.; Duh, C. Y. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1996**, *59*, 23–26.
  (11) Corbett, R. E.; Cong, A. N. T.; Wilkins, A. L.; Thomson, R. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1985**, 2051–2056.
- (12) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL/PC, Version 5.03; Siemens Analytical
- X-ray Instruments Inc.: Madison, WI, 1994.
- (13) International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Ibers, J. A., Hamilton, W. C., Eds.; The Kynoch Press: Birmingham, U.K., 1974; Vol. IV.

#### NP0004808